

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/841,894	04/25/2001	Patricia A. Billing-Medel	6083.US.D2	6734
75	90 11/03/2003		EXAM	INER
Steven F. Weinstock			FREDMAN, JEFFREY NORMAN	
Abbott Laborato			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Department 377 / AP6D-2 100 Abbott Park Road			L	TAPER NOVIBLE
Abbott Park, IL			1634	
Noboli Turk, 12 00004-0050		DATE MAILED: 11/03/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Th MAILING DATE of this communication app THE REPLY FILED 02 October 2003 FAILS TO PLAC Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appli	E THIS APPLICATION IN CONE avoid abandonment of this appli	·				
Th MAILING DATE of this communication app THE REPLY FILED 02 October 2003 FAILS TO PLAC Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either:	Examiner  Jeffrey Fredman  wears on the cover sheet with the companies of the cover sheet with the cover sheet wit	Art Unit 1634 c rrespondence address				
THE REPLY FILED 02 October 2003 FAILS TO PLAC Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either:	Jeffrey Fredman  wears on the cover sheet with the company of the cover sheet with the cover	1634 c rrespondence address				
THE REPLY FILED 02 October 2003 FAILS TO PLAC Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either:	ears on the cover sheet with the of this APPLICATION IN CONE avoid abandonment of this applies	c rrespondence address				
THE REPLY FILED 02 October 2003 FAILS TO PLAC Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either:	E THIS APPLICATION IN CONE avoid abandonment of this appli	·				
Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either:	avoid abandonment of this application					
Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.		cation. A proper reply to a ich places the application in				
PERIOD FOR R	EPLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	date of the final rejection.					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later to ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	han SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o S FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The drawe been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extended from: (1) the expiration date of the shortener (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three meanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	nsion and the corresponding amount of the ad statutory period for reply originally set in	e fee. The appropriate extension fee under the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in				
<ol> <li>A Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>24 June 2003</u>. Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.</li> </ol>						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered	pecause:					
(a) $oxed{\boxtimes}$ they raise new issues that would require furt	ner consideration and/or search (	(see NOTE below);				
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note	below);					
<ul><li>(c)  they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or</li></ul>	in better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or simplifying the				
(d) they present additional claims without cance	eling a corresponding number of	finally rejected claims.				
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):						
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) woul canceling the non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	d be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely filed amendment				
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .						
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.						
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims v						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	:					
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>10-16</u> , <u>33</u> , <u>35</u> , <u>38</u> and <u>39</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 23-32 and	<u>134</u> .					
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	s a)□ approved or b)□ disapp	proved by the Examiner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement	ent(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s).					
0. Other:						
		Jeffrey Fredman Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1634				

## C ntinuation Sheet (PTOL-303) 009/841,894

Applicati n No.

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The new limitation which requires a minimum of "10 nucleotides" would require further search and consideration..

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argues that their previous response overcomes the written description rejection. This is not persuasive for the reasons of record. With regard to the prior art rejections, these arguments are not persuasive because the amendment was not entered, as requiring further search and consideration.

-